## III. REMARKS

The Final Office Action dated 21 March 2011 has been carefully considered. Applicant appreciates the Examiner's courtesy in discussing the application on 15 June 2011. Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the application in view of the foregoing amendments and the following remarks.

## Status of the Claims

Claims 37 and 49 are amended. No claims are cancelled at this time. Claims 37-60 remain pending in this application.

## Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. §101

Claims 37-48 are rejected under 35 USC §101 as being directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claim 37 is amended to address the rejection.

## Claim Rejections – 35 U.S.C. §102

Claims 37, 38, 40, 42-49, 51 and 53-60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102 (e) as being anticipated by US Patent Publication No. 2005/0288154 (*Lee*).

Claim 37 is amended to recite that the previously recorded measurement data comprises a plurality of "consecutive" measurement point sets on a route, each set including a heart rate measurement, a time stamp, a GPS position measurement and an altitude measurement. It is submitted that these features are not disclosed or suggested by *Lee*.

Applicant's claimed subject matter allows a user of the measurement device to obtain accurate feedback related to performance along the route of an ongoing activity, or <u>route-dependent</u> comparative data and feedback. By loading "previously recorded measurement data" related to an activity and <u>comparing</u> the previously recorded data to the new data from the current ongoing activity, the performance feedback from the comparison will tell the user precisely where they are relative to the prior performance along the same route. By including heart rate measurement, a time stamp, GPS position measurement and an altitude measurement in the set of consecutive measurement points, Applicant's claimed subject matter advantageously provides <u>route-dependent</u> comparative data and not merely time or

distance comparative data. This is not disclosed or suggested by Lee or the systems of the prior art.

Although Lee discloses that the personal training device uses GPS, Lee only discloses that the GPS is used to determine a current location, an elapsed distance or time. Lee also discloses that the GPS location data can be used to navigate back to a stored point. (Para. 0064). This is not the same as "previously recorded measurement data" comprising a plurality of "consecutive" measurement point sets on a route, each set including a heart rate measurement, a time stamp, a GPS position measurement and an altitude measurement as recited in Applicant's claims. Paragraph 0014 of Lee only states that the GPS component provides "location" information. The processor 60 in Lee can calculate a location by using the location data from the GPS component. (Para. 0051). This "location" data relates to monitoring and reporting the "user's performance" in terms of elapsed time or distance. The processor can calculate a distance traveled by comparing a "current location" to a "previous location." (Para. 0051). The GPS component in Lee is only used for conventional navigation, and for calculating the traveled distance, a speed and current position of the user. (Para. 0061). Lee does not disclose or suggest storing "previously recorded measurement data" comprising a plurality of "consecutive measurement point sets" on a route, each set including "a heart rate measurement, a time stamp, a GPS position measurement and an altitude measurement" in order to provide route-dependent comparative data, as is the case in Applicant's claimed subject matter.

Lee only allows the user to determine if a "performance" goal is met, and cannot provide <u>route-dependent</u> comparative data as is the case in Applicant's claimed subject matter. Lee discloses performance goals such as "striving to reach a time goal or a distance goal while running on a closed track." (Para. 0052). The "goal information" relates to the current "average speed, maximum speed, distance, or time." (Para. 0053). The user's current performance can be monitored and performance characteristics tracked. (Para. 0054). The "performance characteristics" include the user's location, elevation, distance, elapsed time, speed or calories burned." (Para. 0051). However, what Lee does not disclose is "comparing" previously recorded measurement data comprising a plurality of consecutive measurement point sets on a route, each set including a heart rate measurement, a time stamp, a GPS position measurement and an altitude measurement to measurement data of a current, ongoing activity to provide <u>route-dependent</u> comparative performance data. Lee only teaches

US App. Serial No. 10/581,315 Response to OA mailed 21 March 2011

comparing the "goal information" with the "performance information" that is based on time

and/or distance. (Para. 0055). This comparison does not and cannot provide route-dependent

comparative performance data as is the case with Applicant's claimed subject matter.

Thus, claim 37 is not anticipated by Lee. Claim 49 recites similar limitations and is

also not anticipated by Lee.

Dependent Claims 38-48 and 50-60 should also be allowable at least by reason of

their respective dependencies and the additional limitations recited therein.

Therefore, it is respectfully submitted that all of the claims now present in the

application are clearly novel and patentable over the prior art of record, and are in proper

form for allowance. Accordingly, favorable reconsideration and allowance is respectfully

requested. Should any unresolved issues remain, the Examiner is invited to call Applicants'

attorney at the telephone number indicated below as well as by electronic mail, authorization

for which is provided below.

Recognizing that Internet communications are not secure, I hereby authorize the

USPTO to communicate with me concerning any subject matter of this application by

electronic mail at the email address listed below. I understand that a copy of these

communications will be made of record in the application file.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any necessary fees or credit any

overpayment associated with this submission to Deposit Account No. 50-5215.

Respectfully submitted,

/gcz44004/

Geza C. Ziegler, Jr.

Reg. No. 44,004

21 June 2011

Date

Ziegler IP Law Group, LLC

518 Riverside Avenue, Synergy Building

Westport, CT 06880

Tel: (203) 659-0388

Customer No. 93582

9